



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/823,649  | 04/14/2004  | Takashi Kurihara     | 1076.1094           | 4917             |  |  |
| 21171   | 7590        | 03/17/2008           | EXAMINER            |                  |  |  |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP<br>SUITE 700<br>1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20005 |             |                      |                     | DOAN, NGHIA M    |  |  |
| ART UNIT  |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |  |  |
| 2825  |             |                      |                     |                  |  |  |
| MAIL DATE   |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |  |  |
| 03/17/2008  |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/823,649             | KURIHARA ET AL.     |  |

  

|                 |                 |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner</b> | <b>Art Unit</b> |  |
| NGHIA M. DOAN   | 2825            |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Final

(1) NGHIA M. DOAN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Paul Bobowiec (reg. 47,431). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 February 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 U.S.C 112 second paragraph*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1, 21, and 24*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The claims 1, 21, and 24 have been amended, but are contained 35 U.S.C 112 second paragraph issue, because the newly added limitation is caused the 112 second paragraph issue. During the interview, Examiner has been attempted to correct the issue, but did not resolved. There is no agreement to be made. However, the inventors are requested the office action to be issued.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

